UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY LOUISVILLE DIVISION

JAMES E	E. NULL AND MILDRED NULL PLAINTIFFS))
V.	; ;	
1100 Tov 11 th Floor	UEST MORTGAGE COMPANY vn & Country Road Legal Department California 92868))))
SERVE:	Kentucky Secretary of State Capitol Building, Room 154 700 Capital Avenue Frankfort, KY 40601)) Case No3:08cv-47-H))
And		
NATIONS TITLE AGENCY OF INDIANA, INC.) 9300 Shelbyville Road Louisville, Kentucky 40222)))
SE	ERVE: Jon Clark 9300 Shelbyville Road Suite 1020 Louisville, Kentucky 40222))))
And	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·))
JON CLARK 9300 Shelbyville Road Suite 1020 Louisville, Kentucky 40222 DEFENDANTS))))
)	ı

COMPLAINT

Comes now, the Plaintiff, James E. Null, et al., and for his Claim against Ameriquest Mortgage Company states as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Beginning in the summer of 2002, Plaintiffs discussed refinancing their home and consolidating all their debt with Ameriquest.
- 2. After much negotiation, Plaintiffs agreed to enter into an agreement to refinance their home, based on the representations of Defendant, Ameriquest.
- 3. Ameriquest represented at all times that Plaintiffs were approved and ready to close.
- 4. Ameriquest persuaded them to close by stating that they would refinance the loan in two years. When the time came to refinance, Ameriquest refused to honor their commitment.
- 5. As a result, Plaintiffs were harmed by Defendants' unfair, unlawful, and deceptive business practices caused by soliciting, inducing, and closing this residential loan transaction in the State of Indiana.

II. PARTIES

- 6. Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff James E. Null and Mildred Null (the "Nulls") are residents of the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
- 7. Defendant Ameriquest Mortgage Company ("Ameriquest") is a privately held corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in Orange, California. Ameriquest is the nation's largest privately held subprime lender. Ameriquest is a wholly owned subsidiary of privately held Ameriquest Capital Corporation.
- 8. Defendant Nations Title of Indiana, Inc. is an Indiana corporation with an address of 3939 Priority Way South Dr., Ste 210, Indianapolis, In 46240.

9. Defendant, Jon Clark, is upon information and belief, an individual resident of the State of Kentucky.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky because Plaintiffs and Defendants are residents and citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum, exclusive of interest and costs. Venue properly lies in the Western District of Kentucky pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in Louisville in the Western District of Kentucky.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 11. In 2002, the Nulls decided to refinance their home.
- 12. Almost immediately, Ed Smith ("Smith"), an Ameriquest employee and representative, telephoned The Nulls regarding refinancing their home. The Nulls informed Smith of their intent to refinance their debt.
- 13. Based on Ameriquest's assurances and quick response to their inquiries, the Nulls decided Ameriquest's offer was the best and closed with Ameriquest.
- 14. At the same time, the Nulls were informed that they would be able to refinance their loan in two years at a rate of 6.5 %.
- 15. Subsequent to the closing of the 2002 Mortgage, the Nulls were approached by the Title Company to resign their Mortgage and quitclaim deed because the originals were misplaced by the County Clerk.
- 16. In late 2003, the Nulls approached Ameriquest about refinancing their loan and were told that Ameriquest would not and could not refinance the loans. During the time

that the application was pending, they were informed by representatives of Ameriquest that they should not make their loan payments.

- 17. Subsequently, the loan refinance request was turned down and the loan was placed in collection and ultimately in foreclosure.
- 18. Subsequently, the Nulls determined that the Mortgage that was filed with the Barren County Clerk was not the same document they executed. In particular, the notary Jon Clark is unknown to them as he did not attend the closing.
- 19. The Nulls assert that Ameriquest had represented to them that they would refinance their loan and that there was a commitment to refinance their loan. They would not have financed with Ameriquest had they not been told of the refinance opportunity.
- 20. In addition, the Nulls assert that there is no valid and enforceable lien on their property as the Kentucky requirements have not been met.

V. CLAIMS

COUNT I:

<u>Violation of the Truth in Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1601 et seq. and</u> <u>Federal Reserve Regulation Z, 12 C.F.R. § 226.1 et seq.</u>

- 21. Plaintiffs restate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 20.
- 22. Plaintiff, Ameriquest is a creditor within the meaning of the Truth in Lending Act ("TILA") as implemented by Regulation Z.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff violated TILA and Regulation Z by failing to provide Defendants with material disclosures in a form they could keep prior to consummation and notices of right to cancel that were clear, conspicuous, and reflective of the parties' legal obligations.
 - 24. Defendants acted intentionally, maliciously, and with conscious disregard for

Plaintiffs' legal rights and financial well-being.

- 25. Any and all statute of limitations relating to disclosures and notices required under 15 U.S.C. section 1601 *et seq.* are tolled due to Defendants' failure to effectively provide the disclosures and notices.
- 26. Defendants have been unjustly enriched at the expense of the Plaintiffs who are therefore entitled to equitable restitution and disgorgement of profits realized by Defendants.
- 27. The acts of Defendants as described herein were willful, wanton and in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' rights. As a result, Plaintiffs were injured in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Court.

COUNT II: Predatory Lending

- 28. Plaintiffs restate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 27.
- 29. Ameriquest engaged in predatory lending, defined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development as lending "involving deception or fraud, manipulation of borrowers through aggressive sales tactics, or taking unfair advantage of a borrower's lack of understanding about loan terms," by (a) charging excessive fees, points and interest rates unrelated to the borrower's credit/risk profile; (b) lending without regard to borrowers' ability to repay; (c) imposing excessive prepayment penalties that trap borrowers into predatory loans; (d) engaging in aggressive, high-pressure and/or misleading sales tactics; and (e) falsifying loan documents.
- 30. Ameriquest knowingly made loans with high loan-to-value ratios putting the Plaintiffs in the position of spending years paying off refinanced loan balances without

developing any equity.

- 31. Ameriquest deceived The Nulls by falsifying loan applications and settlement documents, and forging signatures.
- 32. Defendants have intentionally, knowingly, recklessly and/or negligently ignored the Predatory Lending Practices committed by their employees and agents, failed to adequately train and supervise employees to prevent those employees from engaging in Predatory Lending Practices, and provided incentives and rewarded employees and agents that engaged in Predatory Lending Practices.
- 33. Defendants or their agents mislead Plaintiffs into signing loan documents without reading them by misrepresenting their contents and telling borrowers there is no need or time to read them—just "sign here."
- 34. As a result, Plaintiffs were injured in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Court.

COUNT III Breach of Contract

- 35. Plaintiffs restate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 34.
- 36. By failing to provide a loan in accordance with the terms and conditions represented to Plaintiffs, Defendant Ameriquest breached its contract with Plaintiffs.
- 37. As a result, Plaintiffs have been injured in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Court.

COUNT IV: Fraud and Fraudulent Inducement by Ameriquest

- 38. Plaintiffs restate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 39.
- 40. Defendant Ameriquest misrepresented the terms and conditions of the

underlying mortgage transaction to Plaintiffs. This fraud induced Plaintiffs to enter into and retain the mortgage. Defendant knew it was misleading Plaintiffs and did so with the intent to have them execute the loan documents and/or continue the mortgage beyond the rescission period.

41. As a result, Plaintiffs have been injured in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Court.

COUNT VI: Fraud and Fraudulent Inducement by Nations Title

- 42. Plaintiffs restate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 41.
- 43. Defendant Nations Title misrepresented the terms and conditions of the underlying mortgage transaction to Plaintiffs. This fraud induced Plaintiffs to enter into and retain the mortgage. Defendant knew it was misleading Plaintiffs and did so with the intent to have them execute the loan documents.
- 44. As a result, Plaintiffs have been injured in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Court.

COUNT VII: Fraud and Breach of Contract by Third Party Defendant Jon Clark

- 45. Plaintiffs restate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 44.
- 46. Defendant Jon Clark fraudulently notarized the Mortgage attached as Exhibit A knowingly having never met the Nulls. Defendant Clark knew he was misleading Plaintiffs and did so with the intent to have them execute the loan documents and/or continue the mortgage beyond the rescission period.
- 47. As a result, Plaintiffs have been injured in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Court.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs, James and Mildred Null, demand judgment against Defendants Ameriquest, Nations Title and Clark, as follows:

- 1. A judgment against Defendants in a substantial amount of compensatory and punitive damages in excess of this Court's jurisdictional minimum;
- 2. A termination of the underlying mortgage and return of all fees paid to or on their behalf;
 - 3. Restitution;
 - 4. A trial by jury;
 - 5. Attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1604(a)(3); and
 - 6. Any and all other relief this Court deems proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ James K. Murphy
James K. Murphy
Lynch, Cox, Gilman & Mahan, PSC
500 West Jefferson Street, Suite 2100
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
(502) 589-4215
Counsel for James and Mildred Null

H:\JMurphy\Null\FEDERAL COMPLAINT.doc

Case 1:08-cv-01584

Document 1-2

Filed 01/17/2008

Page 1 of 1

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

3:08cv-47-H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
JAMES E. NULL AND MILDRED NULL				AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE COMPANY, NATIONS TITLE AGENCY OF INDIANA, INC. AND JON CLARK					
(b) County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Orange, CA								
(E		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)							
					ID CONDEMN INVOLVED,	NATION CASES, U	SE THE LOCATI	ON OF TH	Œ
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)					
JAMES K. MURPHY, L	YNCH, COX, GILMA	N & MAHAN, PSO	C						
500 W. Jefferson St., Ste									
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in (One Box for	r Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr		or Defendar PTF 4	nt) DEF 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government	■ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State] 2 [] 2	Incorporated and I	Principal Place	D 5	2 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)				of Business In			
				n or Subject of a eign Country] 3 (] 3	Foreign Nation		1 1 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	I RAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUTE	76
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		10 Agriculture		al 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Re		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury	· 🖸 6	20 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 With	drawal	☐ 410 Antitrus	st	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury	.	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 US	C 157	☐ 430 Banks a☐ 450 Comme		3
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	□ 63	30 Liquor Laws		RTY RIGHTS	460 Deporta	ation	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copy: ☐ 830 Paten		☐ 470 Rackete Corrupt (er Influence Organization	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		O Occupational	☐ 840 Trade	emark	☐ 480 Consum	ner Credit	
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 90 Other			☐ 490 Cable/S ☐ 810 Selectiv		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL 861 HIA (SECURITY	☐ 850 Securitie		lities/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		Act	☐ 862 Black	Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Custom		e
 ■ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability 	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	385 Property Damage Product Liability		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 00 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC	C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	12 USC : 890 Other St	3410 tatutorsi Act	tione
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	•		& Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (4	405(g))	891 Agricult	tural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	PRISONER PETITION ☐ 510 Motions to Vacat		0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation		L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 892 Econom ☐ 893 Environ		
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence		l Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Def	endant)	☐ 894 Energy A	Allocation A	Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General	ľ	Security Act	26 USG		☐ 895 Freedom Act	1 of Informa	ation
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	444 Welfare 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty			1		□ 900Appeal o		
270 All Other Real Floperty	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 540 Mandamus & Ott ☐ 550 Civil Rights	ier				Under Ed to Justice	qual Access	
	U 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	555 Prison Condition					950 Constitu	tionality of	
	440 Other Civil Rights						State Stat	utes	
🗷 1 Original 🗂 2 Re	ate Court	Annellate Court	J 4 Reins	tated or another	A district	6 Multidistri	ct 🗖 7 N	appeal to I udge from lagistrate udgment	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S.C. 1332	tute under which you ar	e filing (I	o not cite jurisdictions	d statutes un	less diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Predatory Lendin	use:				·		-	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DE	MAND \$		HECK YES only i	f demanded in o	complaint:	;
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY O	F RECORD	-	 -			
01/17/2008		/s/ James K.							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						<u>-</u>	- · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
RECEIPT #AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE		
									_